



## **What Radiologists Need to Know About Overread, Outside Read, and Second Opinion Interpretations**

By Stacey Hall, RHIT, CPC, CCS-P, RCC, Senior Director of Corporate Coding with Zotec Partners

Information on documentation and reporting requirements for the reading of outside films is often complex for radiologists and a necessary component of being billed appropriately for medical encounters. This article will address the necessary comparisons for the interpretation and review of outside films, reporting with specific procedure codes, and examples from the emergency department with report samples.

### **Comparison Included**

If the radiologist reviews outside films for comparison with a current study submitted for interpretation, the review of the outside films are included in the interpretation of the current study and **not** billed separately.

“When a radiologist reviews prior images performed either at the same institution or from an ‘outside’ facility at the time he or she interprets an ‘inside’ study, it is not appropriate to code separately for the review of the previous examination,” according to the American College of Radiology’s (ACR) *Radiology Coding Source*. “The review of the outside institutional examination is no different from reviewing old inside studies at the time of the interpretation of the new inside services. A comparison with old studies, when available, is an integral part of the interpretation of any study, regardless of where they were performed.”

### **Reporting with Specific Procedure Code**

According to *Clinical Examples in Radiology* as well as the *ACR Radiology Coding Source*, when a referring physician requests a second opinion on a prior imaging exam, and the radiologist provides a written report, “the specific procedure code with modifier 26, professional component, should be reported.” Other elements of the reporting procedure might include:

- Modifier 77 will also be applied to indicate a “repeat procedure by another physician.”
- The date of service will be that of the current radiology interpretation.
- There is no technical component charge.

In order to demonstrate the medical necessity for payer consideration for reimbursement, the report should also state the purpose of the interpretation and the name of the physician that requested the new interpretation.

### **Reporting with Code 76140**

Code 76140 is a professional only code created for use when a radiologist is asked to provide a second opinion (e.g., overread) on an imaging exam taken elsewhere and previously interpreted by another physician. It should be noted that Medicare and many other payers do not reimburse for code 76140 as it has no RVUs assigned to it since it does not reflect a specific exam. As well, Code 76140 should only be used if the payer specifically recognizes and requests the use of this code for second interpretations.

### **Emergency Room Services**

The *Medicare Claims Processing Manual Chapter 13 Section 100.1* states carriers must pay for only one interpretation of an EKG or x-ray procedure furnished to an emergency room patient.

It further instructs “They pay for a second interpretation (which may be identified through the use of modifier “-77”) only under unusual circumstances (for which documentation is provided) such as a questionable finding for which the physician performing the initial interpretation believes another physician’s expertise is needed or a changed diagnosis resulting from a second interpretation of the results of the procedure.”

### **Report Example**

Date of Service: 1/23/2018

#### **Exam: Outside MRI brain without contrast**

History: Torticollis. 5-month-old patient who underwent an MRI 4 days ago which reportedly shows evidence of a subdural fluid collection. Second opinion requested by Dr. X.

Findings: As described in the outside report there are small intermediate T1 signal and high T2 signal

subdural fluid collections left mid convexity and right anterior convexity. The left sided subdural collection measures between 4 and 5 mm in thickness. Smaller right anterior convexity collection slightly over 4 mm in thickness.

No midline shift. Normal appearance of the brain parenchyma. No hydrocephalus. No restricted diffusion.

Right posterior parietal/occipital region flattening of the calvarium.

Impression: Small bilateral subdural collections with intermediate T1 and high T2 signal. Exact dating of these collections not possible. They are not likely to be acute.

**Coding Options:**

CPT 70551-26,77 DOS 1/23/2018 - **OR** - CPT 76140 DOS 1/23/2018

**References:**

CPT® Professional Edition 2018

*ACR Radiology Coding Source*, March/April 2007 and November/December 2016

*Clinical Examples in Radiology*, Spring 2009

Medicare Claims Processing Manual Chapter 13, Section 100.1